

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,899		05/01/2001	Melanie Russell	FOM-117.01	4640
25181	7590	04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY H			WACHSMAN, HAL D		
155 SEAPC		'ORLD TRADE CEN O	NIER WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02110			2857		
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action College		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examinor Hall DWachsman 2857	Advisory Action	09/846,899	RUSSELL ET AL.					
### The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ### REPLY FILED 26 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Interestors, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compiliance with 37 CFR 1.114. #### Period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. ### DEFINITION of the final rejection in the final rejection of the final rejection which the replace of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will this satinty period for reply expires or. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date are forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satinty period for reply expires or. (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will this satinty period for reply expires or. (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satinty period for reply expired the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satinty period for reply expired the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satinty period for reply applications and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. Only of CPC 1.176 (2) and the appropriate administration of CPC 1.176 (2) and the appropriate administration of CPC 1.176 (2) and the spranging administration of the final rejection of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amount of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the fina	navious y nousin	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a interior of the application by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a interior of the application in 200 miles of the 11 may be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in 200 miles of the 11 may be either. (1) a timely filed remained places the application in 200 miles of the management of the final rejection. (2) a timely filed reply expires 2 months from the maling date of the final rejection. (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134. (4) the date set to this in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satisful operator period specification and the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(2). The date on which the perition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 18 centers of the final rejection. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely flied, may reduce any same places. (2) The proposed amendment (5) will not be entered because: (a) The proposed amendment (5) will not be entered because: (b) The proposed amendment (5) will not be entered because: (c) The proposed amendment (5) will not be entered because: (d) The proposed amendment (5) will not be entered because in the final rejection of the proposed or amended claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Shee! The affidavt is reply has overcome the following rejection(5): (d) The affidavt is		Hal D Wachsman	2857					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inair rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either (1) a timely field amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Only or the CKC KTHS BOX WHENT HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of THE INNUL REJECTION. See MPEP CHECK THIS BOX WHENT HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of THE INNUL REJECTION. See MPEP Excensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the perspective extension free makes been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of cerebroan and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension free mains are adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). A notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a) and provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed paent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) the proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) the proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (b) the proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (c) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place t	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
a) ☐ The period for reply expires ② months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, however, will the statushor period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLYWAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. NoNLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MFEP 705.07(i). NONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MFEP 705.07(i) may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(ia) at the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee table been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 0 above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late than three mentities after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any series depend them ediplations. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filled within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 ★ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ★ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ★ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Howely proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY MAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE RINAL RELIZETION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee that be the final for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 10 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (i) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply engingly set in the final ceaching. (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\text{ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \(\text{ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\text{ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially rejected claims. NOTE: \(\text{ See Continuation Sheet} \) 3. \(\text{ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. \(\text{ Newly proposed or amended claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \(\text{ See Continuation for allowance because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. Claim(s) elipted: \(\text{ 1.2} \) Claim(s) objected to:		•						
laze been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR. 1.7(9) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply projinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any starmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR. 1.704(b). 1. □ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Shee! 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. ☒ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☒ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hal D Wachsman Pharmary Examiner	nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens B7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8.☒ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a)☐ approved or b)☒ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hai D Wachsman Primary Examiner								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a] ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. ☒ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☒ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hal D Wachsman Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(c)	(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Cloim See Continuation Sheet	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner		in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hai D Wachsman Primary Examiner	(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
A.	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner								
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☑ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet		be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner			sidered but does No	OT place the				
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10 Other: See Continuation Sheet Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner		cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner				and an				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☑ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) □ approved or b) ⊠ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10.☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28. 8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☑ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	Claim(s) objected to:							
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-28.							
Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner	8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on 26 March 2004 is a	a)□ approved or b)⊠ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.				
Hai D Wachsman Primary Examiner	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
Primary Examiner	10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
NW 1 (A)() (10C 7				u,				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/846.899

178

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amended claim 1 contains the new issue "..in which the cement production process produces cement as a product and clinker at the kiln output as an intermediate" which would require both further consideration and search. The Examiner acknowledges the Applicant's explanation as to why the Applicant did not present arguments with respect to the Hansen et al. and Taulbee references however the Examiner is not aware of anything in the MPEP that allows an applicant to be silent with respect to references used as applied prior art and to not be therefore compliant with respect to 37 C.F.R. 1.111 for the reason stated on page 13 of the reply.

Continuation of 10. Other: The drawings as shown in paragraph 8 above were disapproved because most of Figure 2 has a solid black background and the vast majority of the lettering in the figure cannot be read lacking clarity. Similarly, Figure 3C has a solid black background in the graph and what the graph is showing lacks clarity in the lines of what is being graphed here..